# Trajectory based optimal control of swaying structures under wind gust

#### Shibabrat Naik, Nicholas Sharp, Shane Ross

Engineering Science and Mechanics, Virginia Tech

<span id="page-0-0"></span>March 17, 2014

Naik, Sharp, Ross (ESM, VT) [Swaying structures](#page-7-0) March 17, 2014 1 / 8

## Motivation

- High rise structures are susceptible to dynamic excitations like earthquake and wind gust.
- Typically active tuned mass dampers, semi-active TMD, MR dampers are engineered for controlling response.
- Trajectory based control used information from phase space and optimal sets to reach or move a certain subset.
- For our interests, objective is to reduce inhabitant discomfort by reducing excessive sway in top floors and adverse structural stresses.

# Modeling challenges

• Efforts in structutal health monitoring has been focussed on controlling response under earthquake as base excitations.



- Damper characteristics are controlled based on the feedback from the structure to optimize cost function of very high dimensional (100 or so) state vector.
- Response to wind load is calculated from empirical gust formulae and designed using probabilistic approach towards worst gust over the life span of the structure.

### Reduced order model



- Model the structure as a cantilever beam with simple oscillation
- Assumptions:
	- Oscillation only in first mode
	- No damping coefficient for structure
	- Apply wind force entirely at top floor
- This system has just two degrees of freedom

#### Reduced order equations of motion

Use an *equivalent lumped mass* to derive equations of motion:

$$
\mathbf{M}\ddot{\vec{x}} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\vec{x}} + \mathbf{K}\vec{x} = \vec{F}
$$

with

$$
\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x_d \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} m_L & 0 \\ 0 & m_d \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} c_d & -c_d \\ -c_d & c_d \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
\mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} k + k_d & -k_d \\ -k_d & k_d \end{bmatrix}, \ \vec{F} = \begin{bmatrix} W(t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

where

$$
m_L = \frac{33}{140} mL \qquad \text{and} \qquad k = \frac{3EI}{L^3}.
$$

# Wind gust and related statistics

- Wind velocity is a **stochastic** variable which simulates physical wind effects
- Observations of wind speed follow a gaussian distribution
- Must consider **continuity** of wind, reprsented by the autocorrelation
- Simulate wind using **Markov** chains trained on experimental measurements Time series of measured and synthetic



wind speed [\[1\]](#page-7-1)

# Optimal control strategies

- Control:  $c_d \in [0, c_{max}]$ , the damping coefficient for the damper
- **Cost**:  $J = \int_0^T \ddot{x}(t)dt$ , the total acceleration of the top floor over time
- Potential strategy: Discretize the phase space and compute transition probabilities and costs for each state

#### References

<span id="page-7-1"></span>F. Kaminsky, R. Kirchhoff, C. Syu, and J. Manwell, "A comparison of alternative approaches for the synthetic generation of a wind speed time series," *Journal of solar energy engineering*, vol. 113, no. 4, pp. 280–289, 1991.

U. Aldemir, "Optimal control of structures with semiactive-tuned mass dampers," *Journal of sound and vibration*, vol. 266, no. 4, pp. 847–874, 2003.

L. M. Jansen and S. J. Dyke, "Semiactive control strategies for mr dampers: comparative study," *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, vol. 126, no. 8, pp. 795–803, 2000.

A. G. Davenport, "The application of statistical concepts to the wind loading of structures.," in *ICE Proceedings*, vol. 19, pp. 449–472, Thomas Telford, 1961.

C. Chang and H. T. Yang, "Control of buildings using active tuned mass dampers," *Journal of engineering mechanics*, vol. 121, no. 3, pp. 355–366, 1995.

Naik, Sharp, Ross (ESM, VT) [Swaying structures](#page-0-0) March 17, 2014 8 / 8

<span id="page-7-0"></span>